

THEMES - Legal Trails -

No motorized vehicles

How does town of Tunbridge define legal trails...? Do the 4 defined legal trails need to operate under the same criteria? What exactly are the boundaries of the legal trails?

Who maintains town's legal trails?

Who manages town's legal trails? Who takes care of damage done by 100's of bicycles from events?

PRIVACY CONCERNS overall

Seeing increased numbers using trail(s) mostly bike riding.

How to stop usage of private land beside the legal trails? Unintended consequences of illegal trails on landowners' private property.

Preference for hikers, horses, snowshoeing, skiing, hunting...not wheeled vehicles

Consider each legal trail as unique

What about the fragility of the land on the legal trails? Worries about environmental deprivation....

Is the town of Tunbridge interested in the total Tunbridge community, not just small groups?

What will VAST do with land closures?

Okay to think in terms of ordinances and development of town ordinances for recreational organizations and limiting legal trail use for specific recreational uses.

Fear of being invaded, overrun, changing the rural, agricultural nature of town into a mini Stowe etc.

Limits of some kind...types?, volume?, number of events? etc.

See increased disrespect for land and landowners...entitlement to use all land regardless. Worries about increased volume of non-resident usage leading to degradation of trails, trash etc.

What do you want your town to become?

Don't see economic benefit from bikes for Tunbridge...bike groups coming through disruptive, create problems.

What are the long term implications for Tunbridge of unlimited legal trail use?

Is it worth it to Tunbridge to give up the privilege of privately owned lands continuing to be open for multiple recreational uses (open land concept) in exchange for mixed use (bikes, horses, walking) of town's legal trails?

All want land to be open for walking, hunting... and don't want to post land...
All want to be good neighbors.